Dal Surgery Faculty – Research

Scoring System for Research Deliverables

Grant Support

Book Chapters

Senior Author Mid Author

Case Reports

Major Award (>50K/year)		
Principle Investigator	20 points	
Co-PI	15 points	
Co-Applicant	7 points	
Minor Award (<50K/year)		
Principle Investigator	10 points	
Co-PI	7 points	
Co-Applicant	3 points	
Intramural Support		
(CDHA, DMRF, FOM, IWK)		
Principle Investigator	5 points	
Co-PI	3 points	
Co-Applicant	1 point	
Contract Research 1	5 points	
Applied for, but not successful external NGA		
Only for PI	5 points	
<u>Presentations</u>		
Research Presentations		
With abstract publication at peer reviewed national or		
international conference ²	2 points	
Invited Presentations ³	2 points	
Publications ⁴		
Peer reviewed manuscript		
First or Senior Author	5 points	
Middle Author	2 points	

4 points

3 points 2 points

Trainees

Primary Supervisor Co-Supervisor

Successful trainee judged by trainee publication record ⁵ and/or Graduate degree awarded (per year)

Medical Student/Undergraduate2 pointsGraduate Student5 pointsPost Doctural Training5 points

Trials Participation:

Local PI ⁶ bringing clinical studies "of value" either industry or peer review funded to the Division with successful recruitment

3 points

Intellectual Property:

Patents ⁷ applied for/held Development of IP ongoing

5 points

Committee & Review Work

Journal Reviewer ⁸	1 point
Editor of Peer Review Journal	5 points
Member of Peer Review Committee ⁹	5 points
Graduate Committee	1 point

¹ Contract research is defined as industry funded projects in which the faculty member in question has applied for funding for a project where the input regarding research question and experimental plan/trial design was provided by the faculty member (distinct from local PI under trials participation.) Maximum 15 points.

http://impactfactor.weebly.com/

² Presentations are restricted to communications of research findings (as distinct from presentations on best clinical practice or education). Abstract reference must be provided.

³ Provide meeting name, place, date(s) and title of talk.

⁴ Impact factor consideration. Impact factor of 15 or more, double points.

⁵ Faculty member must provide evidence of productivity (presentations, abstracts, manuscripts, fellowships awarded). Service on a graduate committee is not sufficient to warrant credit.

⁶ Refers to industry or hypothesis driven trials where PI responsible for recruitment and management of the trial locally, but was not involved in the design. Maximum of 3.

⁸ Maximum of 5 points per year ed in the design of the trial or in obtaining funding from the onset.

Provide evidence of this activity

⁹ Major Granting Agency (NSERC, CIHR, Heart and Stroke)

Scoring Method:

New recruits will be evaluated after the first three years. Scores will be collected every year with committee evaluation every two years after the initial evaluation.

Who to review and who to decide:

Dal Surgery Research Committee will develop a sub committee to review research deliverable scores. This sub committee will be comprised of the Research Director, one member of the research committee and one external referee from who is an acknowledged leader in research, and has no collaborations with any of the division members.

Appeals process is to cabinet as outlined in the Departmental Governance document.

Division/Department must provide relevant:

- a. Space
- b. Start up funds
- Access to personnel FTE protected time status.